UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,091	01/14/2002	Raymond P. Johnston	54404US008	6682
	7590 09/18/200 IVE PROPERTIES CO	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427		BROWN, MICHAEL A		
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	09/961,091	JOHNSTON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MICHAEL BROWN	3772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ap	oril 2008.				
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
	, 				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 36,37,39-46,48-54 and 56-600 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 51-54 and 56-60 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 36,37,39,41-46 and 48-50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 40 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 36-37, 39, 43, 45-46, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schaefer '618.

Schaefer discloses in figures 1-8 a medical treatment article comprising at least one fluid control film component 11, having at least one microstructure-bearing surface (the lower surface of 11), with a plurality of microreplicated channels 13 extending along the surface the permit the transport of fluid between a medical site and A patient, a remote area that includes a fluid reservoir 10, the article is a wound dressing, the channel having a V-shape (fig. 4), the channel includes a thermoplastic material that is vinyl chloride, a pressure sensitive adhesive material 26, a backing layer that includes the adhesive material and a wound dressing 30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 41-42, 44 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaefer.

Schaefer discloses in figures 1-8 a medical treatment article, substantially as claimed. However, Schafer doesn't disclose that the channels having the same angle, how deep the channels are or the film being translucent. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the channels disclosed by Schaefer could be fabricated with an angle between 10 to 120 degrees (which the channels appear to be), the channel could be formed of about 5 to about 3000 microns deep and the film could be made of a translucent material. The angle and the depth of the channels recited in the claims are within the scope of Schaefer. The translucent material could be poly vinyl chloride because it is a translucent material that prevents glare. The channel being a silicone is a choice of materials.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 40 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 51-54 and 56-60 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 22, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Schaefer doesn't disclose channels forming openings in the film, wherein the openings or pores in the film allow movement of fluid

through the fluid control film. However, the claims don't recite openings or pores. Schaefer closes discloses a fluid control film 11, having at least one microstructure-bearing surface (the lower surface of 11) with a plurality of microreplicated channels 13 extending along the surface. The surface of the film and the channels are capable of permitting fluid to flow thereon. The openings in Schaefer were not interpreted as channels. The openings may assist in the flow of a fluid, but the openings were not interpreted as channels.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL BROWN whose telephone number is (571)272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,091 Page 5

Art Unit: 3772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Brown/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3772